

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED SPATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOIL PATENTS

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 85160.923 5419 Shari Gharavy 09/896,140 06/29/2001 **EXAMINER** 33438 7590 12/12/2006 STORK, KYLE R HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP P.O. BOX 203518 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT AUSTIN, TX 78720

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Notice of Non-Compliant 09/896.140 GHARAVY, SHARI Examiner Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) Art Unit Kyle R. Stork 2178 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --The amendment document filed on 20 November 2006 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other ☐ 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. ☐ B. Other ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet." "New Sheet." or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other: 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4): See Continuation Sheet For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714. TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE: 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

SUPERWSORY PATENT EXAMINED of Paper No. 20061129

Continuation of 5 Other: In the applicant's remarks, page 11 includes a plurality overwritten words. For example, "schema.dtd (conformance)," "schema.xml," and another word portion overwrite portions of the page. While the examiner believes these are intended to be labels for the figure presented on page 11, it is unclear where these labels are intended to be positioned. Instead of risking unintentionally misinterpreting the words or figure, the examiner would like the applicant to correct the remarks so that the examiner may view them as intended.